

(a) The maximisation of happiness is the product of minimisation of desire and maximisation of virtues.

(b) Whereas the maximisation of grief is the product of minimising of the virtues and maximisation of desire.

Desire is a cause of evil, explains all religious constitution, whereas desire is cause of economic development, explains all national constitutions. As long as desire is managed within the legitimate means of men/nation that remains virtuous and happy. However, incremental desire start loosing their virtues and happiness.

Similarly attitude to serve (duties), explains all religious constitution whereas attitude to get served (rights), explain all political/national constitution. Therefore, there is a confrontation between natural law and social law and their followers has to perish. ALL RELIGIONS CONSTITUTIONS ARE DUTY ORIENTED AND ALL POLITICAL CONSTITUTIONS ARE RIGHT ORIENTED AND BOTH ARE NOT IN A HARMONY AS ON DATE.

Slavery V/s Freedom :

1. Freedom is directly proportional to 'attitude to serve'. The act of slavery, therefore, is directly proportional to attitude to get served.

2. Free people respect the laws and therefore disciplined, whereas slaves break the laws and are undisciplined.

3. Free people do not act to harm, whereas ignorant person always act to harm.

4. Freedom promotes development of positive emotional energy, trust, confidence and love whereas slavery promotes mistrust, apprehension and hate.

5. Income generated through act of freedom become productive and generates more income, feelings of satisfaction & happiness whereas slavery brings unhappiness and generates non-productive and blackmoney with feelings of guilt.

6. Whereas in case of slaves the relationships are that of exploited & exploiter only.

7. The greatest characteristics of a freeman are to accept responsibility for their mistakes, whereas slaves are to blame others for their mistakes.

8. The leaders of the freemen are patricians (power providers) whereas the leaders of slaves are politicians (power seekers).

C. Conceptual Transformation of systems :

Who failed us ?

The objective of the politicians was to remain in power by adopting the policy of divide and rule and thus this constitutions became division oriented.

Therefore, it is the constitutions that failed us, because politicians and a few patricians who may also under the influence of word govern, changed their attitude from patrician to politician.

Existing Constitutions in tune with UN CHARTER of HUMAN RIGHTS :

Citizens and societies at 'HOME' IS THE PRODUCT OF THEIR RELIGIOUS CONSTITUTION, WHICH IS DUTY ORIENTED. But the society in their economic organisation is the product of national/political constitution, which is rights oriented. THEREFORE INDIVIDUAL IS CONFUSED BECAUSE AT 'HOME' HE IS BEING TAUGHT TO REMAIN AS DUTY ORIENTED WHEREAS IN THE OFFICE HE IS BEING TAUGHT TO REMAIN RIGHT ORIENTED.

THE VIOLATION OF THE LAWS BY THE CITIZEN AGAINST THE STATE IS CRIME, WHEREAS THE VIOLATION OF NATURAL LAWS BY THE STATES IS AGAINST THE GOD/NATURE, THEREFORE IT IS SUPREME CRIME. WE THE PEOPLE AS SUPER CRIMINALS IN THE EYE OF NATURE IN LAST 50 YEARS BY ADOPTING RIGHT ORIENTED CONSTITUTION. SINCE SOCIAL LAWS, POLICIES, SYSTEM AND IMPLEMENTATION PROCEDURES ARE THE PRODUCTS OF NATIONAL CONSTITUTIONS, RESULTS ARE AS UNDER.

1. The rulars promote negative energy i.e. mistrust, apprehension and hate.

2. The income distribution pattern through their acts of exploitation is negative, inept and inequitable.

THEREFORE, OUR CONSTITUTION IS POLITICIAN/SLAVERY ORIENTED. SLAVE CITIZENS ARE RIGHTS ORIENTED AND UNPRODUCTIVE, THREFORE, EITHER EXPLOITERS OR EXPLOITED, SHRINKING FROM THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES AND BLAMING EACH OTHER.

How to make Indian economy to work :